



Extensible model for describing User Profiles

Ankica Barišić (Ankica.Barisic@univ-cotedazur.fr)

Supervised by: Marco Winckler (Marco.Winckler@univ-cotedazur.fr)



Overview

- 1. Why user profile matters?
- 2. Existing techniques
- 3. Problems
- 4. Research questions
- 5. Planning of activities
- 6. Preliminary findings (State of the Art)

1. Why user profile metter?

UNIVERSITÉ CÔTE D'AZUR

User Centered Design

ISO 13407 User Centered Design ISO 9241-210:2019 - Ergonomics of human-system interaction

- Part 210: Human-centred design for interactive systems.
- Usability:
 - Effectiveness
 - Efficiency
 - \circ Satisfaction
- User Experience/UX:
 - Dimensions describing users' perception and feelings





User Group

describe the characteristics of target users of an interactive system

- Process for identifying and selecting users
 - define the characteristics of the user population, i.e. user groups
 - work with a representative sample of the user group
- Methods for describing user groups
 User roles, personas, user profiling
- Methods for assessing user groups
 - Interviews, questionnaires, focus groups ...



User profiling

A collection of users characteristics that can be used to identify user groups

Ex.: Personal characteristics:

- Age, sex, education, job type, socio-economic status, role in organization.
- Lifestyle, personality, emotions and attitudes (e.g. toward using a technology).
- Skills.
- Physical abilities and constraints, e.g. poor eyesight, color blindness, etc. **Task related characteristics:**
- Goals and motivation.
- Tasks.
- Usage (heavy vs. light, frequency, indirect or remote).
- Training and experience (from novice to expert). Geographic and social characteristics:
- Location: regions, countries, continents, market areas.
- Cultures and other circumstances.
- Social connections and societies.



User Roles

A collection of attributes that characterize certain user population and their intentional interaction with the system

• User/Task Matrix (who is doing what, and how often)?

Users	Getting comfortable with software	Basic software use	Advanced software use	Training the patients	Customizing the software
Patients	Х	Х			
Patient families	Х	Х	Х		
Novice clinicians		Х	Х	Х	
Expert clinicians		Х	Х	Х	X

Family: Married, kids, etc.

Location: City, state

Character: Type



Personas

Technique based on data gathered through user research

Bio

- Mapping user archetypes (profiles) that represent a few important classes of users' goals and needs. Could be fictional or based on real data

Full Name		
	Trait Trait Trait Trait Trait Motivations	
	Goals Fear	
	A task that needs to be completed. A life goal to be reached. Or an experience to be felt. Growth	
	Frustrations Social	
"A quotation that captures the ssence of this person's personality."	The frustrations this user would like to avoid. The obstacle that prevents this user from achieving their goals. The problems with the solutions already available.	
kge: 1-100 Nork: Job title	The product or service that currently does not exist. Brands	>

The bio should be a short paragraph to describe the user journey. It should include some of their bistony leading up to a current use

3. Problems



Current practice: no uniform way for describing users

- lack of formalization
- difficult to compare user groups from different studies
- hard to integrate and reuse knowledge about users
- Important Problems
 - Every user is an unique individual (variation in the population)
 - In some cases, users tasks and responsibilities might be more important than individuals preferences but not always...
 - Stereotyped views of users is a dangerous and yet necessary tradeoff



Objective

Acquire knowledge about different user modeling techniques in order to provide extensible, technology independent, computer-readable User Profile model.

RQ1 - To specify computable user models
 RQ2 - To accommodate large variety of attributes describing users
 RQ3 - To create platform for share knowledge about users



On going Activities

1. State of Art (literature review) -> preliminary findings

Next Activities

- 2. Proposing metamodel for describing users - based on existing metamodels & ontologies
- 3. Test model with real life case studies describing models
- 4. Integrating diverse metamodels

6. State of the art



Scope of the state of the art

- Sources of papers: Google Scholar
- Keywords used:
 - User Profile, User Profiling, User Group, User Model, User Modelling, Persona
 - Survey, Literature Review, Ontology
- Period of obtained papers: 2005->2022
- Number of papers analysed: 23
 - Surveys/Literature reviews: 14
 - Ontologies: 9



Preliminary findings

- There are many definitions of what to put in a User Model description
- Classification of techniques for modeling users
- Research field encourage use of ontology for describing users
- Existing Ontologies for representing users



Definitions for User Model

- It is a (data) structure used to capture certain characteristics about an individual
- a user profile is the actual representation in a given user model
- Static Once the main data is gathered they are not changed again.
- **Dynamic** Can be updated and take the current user data into account.
- Stereotype-based Based on demographic statistics.
 - Users are classified into common stereotypes to which system adapts.
 - Personal attributes might not match the stereotype.
 - Allow predictions about a user even if there is little information about.
- Highly adaptive Represent one particular user.
 - Allow a very high adaptivity of the system.
 - This kind of model needs to gather a lot of information first.



User profile modelling

a process of building a computational model using the extracted features that can predict user needs or preferences

[Eke2019]





General user modelling ontology (GUMO)



[Heckmann2005]



User profile ontology

Class name	Class description				
Person	Basic User Information like name, date of birth, e-mail				
Characteristic	General user characteristics, like eye color, height, weight, etc.				
Ability	User abilities and disabilities, both mental and physical				
Living Conditions	Information relevant to the user's place of residence and house type				
Contact	Other persons, with whom the person is related, including relatives, friends, co-workers.				
Preference	User preferences, for example "loves cats", "likes blue color" or "dislikes classical music"				
Interest	User hobby or work-related interests. For example, "interested in sports", "interested in cooking"				
Activity	User activities, hobby or work related. For example, "collects stamps"				
Education	User education issues, including for example university diplomas and languages				

[Golemati2007] ¹⁶



[Anvari2013]

17

Holistic persona ontology

Persona/ User	Factual	Personality	Intelligent	Knowledge	Cognitive process	Comments
		C	ase 2: Digital TV	extras		
Operator	Demographics Duties	Conscientious, Disagreeable, High-Strung	Linguistic: sufficient Logic: sufficient	Procedural	Apply	Follows procedure during broadcast
Manager	Demographics Duties	Extraverted, Conscientious, Agreeable, Relaxed	Linguistic: good Logic: good	Meta- cognitive	Create	Decides by summarised reports
Professional Viewer	Demographics (minimum information)	High-Strung (In the morning)	Linguistic: sufficient	Factual	Understand	Reads news headlines, weather, Program Guide
Elderly viewer	Demographics (minimum information)	Relaxed	Linguistic: sufficient Musical ability: high	Factual	Understand	Reads news, listens to music
		Case 4: A	pplication to dis	seminate tool	S	
Farmer (user)	Demographics, Duties	Relaxed, Agreeable, Patient,	Linguistic: sufficient Logic: sufficient	Procedural	Apply	Makes time to investigate solution to the issues
Researcher	Demographics, Research interests	Conscientious, Agreeable, Relaxed	Linguistic: high Logic: high	Meta- cognitive	Create	Works hard to find solutions to a problem
	C	ase 5: Financial I	nformation Mana	gement Syste	ms (FIMS)	
Accountant	Field of specialty, number of years in profession	Conscientious, Introverted	Linguistic: average Logic: good	Procedural	Apply, Analyse, Evaluate	Must keep track of accounts; has time to learn FIMS
General Manager	Faculty, number of years at the university	Openness, Conscientious, Extraverted	Linguistic: good Logic: high	Meta- cognitive	Apply, Analyse, Evaluate, Create	In charge of financial matters; makes time to learn FIMS



Conclusions so far from the state of the art

- Strengths :
 - Static properties are broadly analysed
 - There are examples illustrating user modelling process with ontology
 - Requirement engineering phase is well covered
- Weakness
 - Existing ontologies are static (not addressing how the property change with the time/new data retrieval)
 - Focus mostly on Knowledge Management Systems
 - Ontologies are not publicly available (operational and usable)
 - No examples how to support testing and operational part of system development

6. Preliminary results - motivating next steps

User profile ontology

- Enable sharing of understanding among the users and reuse of the domain knowledge
- Can map profile information that has different schemas into a unified system
- Enable profile transformation into a unified format

[Eke2019]



- Language independent
- General purpose profile
- Dynamic profile
- Distributed profile
- Secure user profile
- Location prediction
- Fake profile detection

UNIVERSITÉ



Model-Driven Engineering (MDE)

Combines domain-specific modelling languages with model analyzers, transformers, and generators

- Metamodels define elements, relationships, views, and constraints
- Model interpreters leverage domain-specific models for analysis, generation, and transformation





Insights for the next steps of the research

- Rely on Knowledge Graphs (RDF) for describing user models
 - Allows to apply reasoning techniques and find relationship between data
 - Standards, easy to operationalize, easy to disseminate (via linked data/End Points)
- Create a federate models/Ontologies for combining models
 - For that we can use existing End Points that contains data completing characteristics of user groups
- Case study for testing our hypothesis:
 - ADAVEC project (Authority transfer in autonomous vehicles)

5. Planning of activities



On going Activities

1. State of Art (literature review) -> preliminary findings

Next Activities

- 2. Proposing metamodel for describing users
 - based on existing metamodels & ontologies
- 3. Test model with real life case studies describing models
- 4. Integrating diverse metamodels





Thank you for your attention!

Questions?





Bibliography

- [Eke2019] Eke, C. I., Norman, A. A., Shuib, L., & Nweke, H. F. (2019). A Survey of User Profiling: State-of-the-Art, Challenges, and Solutions. IEEE Access, 7, 144907–144924. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2944243
- [Heckmann2005] Heckmann, D., Schwartz, T., Brandherm, B., Schmitz, M., & Von Wilamowite-Moellendorff, M. (2005). GUMO - The general user model ontology. LNCS, 3538 LNAI, 428–432. https://doi.org/10.1007/11527886_58/COVER
- [Golemati2007] Golemati, M., Katifori, A., Vassilakis, C., Lepouras, G., & Halatsis, C. (2007). Creating an Ontology for the User Profile: Method and Applications. In In Proceedings AI Workshop RCIS (pp. 407–412).
- [Anvari2013] Anvari, F., & Tran, H. M. T. (2013). Persona ontology for user centred design professionals. In Proceedings of the ICIME 4th International Conference on Information Management and Evaluation (Vol. 7, pp. 35–44). Academic Conferences and Publishing International. https://doi.org/10.2/JQUERY.MIN.JS